

TABLE 1: CHANGES TO ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, FY 2019 AND FY 2020

Eligibility Standard Changes						
States	FY 2019			FY 2020		
	(+)	(-)	(#)	(+)	(-)	(#)
Alabama						
Alaska						
Arizona					X	
Arkansas		X	*			
California				X		
Colorado						
Connecticut	X					
Delaware				X		
DC				X		X
Florida		X				
Georgia						
Hawaii				X		
Idaho				X		
Illinois				X		
Indiana		X				X
Iowa	X			X		
Kansas						
Kentucky		X	*			
Louisiana	X			X		
Maine	X					
Maryland	X					
Massachusetts	X	X		X		
Michigan					X	
Minnesota				X		
Mississippi						
Missouri	X			X		
Montana					X	
Nebraska						
Nevada						
New Hampshire		X				*
New Jersey				X		
New Mexico		X		X		
New York						
North Carolina						
North Dakota				X		
Ohio						
Oklahoma				X		
Oregon						
Pennsylvania						
Rhode Island				X		
South Carolina				X		
South Dakota						
Tennessee				X		
Texas						
Utah	X		X		X	X
Vermont						
Virginia	X				X	
Washington						
West Virginia				X		
Wisconsin**				X	X	
Wyoming						
Totals	9	7	1	20	6	3

NOTES: From the beneficiary's perspective, eligibility expansions or policies likely to increase Medicaid enrollment are denoted with (+), eligibility restrictions or policies likely to decrease enrollment are denoted with (-), and neutral changes are denoted with (#). This table captures eligibility changes that states have implemented or plan to implement in FY 2019 or FY 2020, including changes that are part of approved and pending Section 1115 waivers. No non-expansion state pending work requirement waivers (AL, MS, OK, SC, SD, TN) were counted as "planned for implementation" in FY 2020.

*Denotes that the court set aside continued or new implementation of waiver provisions. **Wisconsin's Section 1115 waiver covers childless adults ages 19 to 64 with income up to 100% FPL, without ACA enhanced matching funds. The state has an approved work and reporting requirement waiver for this population. The state plans to implement this provision as soon as CMS approves their implementation plan and when funding is made available for work supports.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 2: SHARE OF THE MEDICAID POPULATION COVERED UNDER DIFFERENT DELIVERY SYSTEMS IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, AS OF JULY 1, 2019

States	Type(s) of Managed Care In Place	Share of Medicaid Population in Different Delivery Systems		
		MCO	PCCM	FFS / Other
Alabama	PCCM	--	85.0%	15.0%
Alaska	FFS	--	--	100.0%
Arizona	MCO	94.0%	--	6.0%
Arkansas*	PCCM and MCO	5.0%	45.0%	50.0%
California	MCO	81.1%	--	18.9%
Colorado	MCO and PCCM*	9.5%	90.5%	0.0%
Connecticut	FFS*	--	--	100.0%
Delaware	MCO	97.0%	--	3.0%
DC	MCO	75.0%	--	25.0%
Florida	MCO	90.0%	--	10.0%
Georgia	MCO	75.0%	--	25.0%
Hawaii	MCO	99.9%	--	0.1%
Idaho*	PCCM	--	83.9%	16.1%
Illinois	MCO	81.4%	--	18.6%
Indiana	MCO	78.0%	--	22.0%
Iowa	MCO	94.3%	--	5.8%
Kansas	MCO	99.4%	--	0.6%
Kentucky	MCO	91.0%	--	9.0%
Louisiana	MCO	90.1%	--	9.9%
Maine	PCCM	--	60.0%	40.0%
Maryland	MCO	85.7%	--	14.3%
Massachusetts	MCO and PCCM	42.0%	26.0%	32.0%
Michigan	MCO	76.5%	--	23.5%
Minnesota	MCO	82.9%	--	17.1%
Mississippi	MCO	65.0%	--	35.0%
Missouri	MCO	73.0%	--	27.0%
Montana	PCCM	--	87.0%	13.0%
Nebraska	MCO	99.9%	--	0.1%
Nevada	MCO	74.0%	--	26.0%
New Hampshire	MCO	97.7%	--	2.3%
New Jersey	MCO	95.0%	--	5.0%
New Mexico	MCO	80.7%	--	19.3%
New York	MCO	76.6%	--	23.4%
North Carolina	PCCM	--	90.0%	10.0%
North Dakota	MCO and PCCM	23.0%	43.5%	33.5%
Ohio	MCO	93.7%	--	6.3%
Oklahoma	PCCM	--	74.5%	25.5%
Oregon	MCO*	91.0%	--	9.0%
Pennsylvania	MCO	89.3%	--	10.7%
Rhode Island	MCO	90.0%	--	10.0%
South Carolina	MCO*	77.0%	--	23.0%
South Dakota	PCCM	--	80.0%	20.0%
Tennessee	MCO	100.0%	--	0.0%
Texas	MCO	94.0%	--	6.0%
Utah	MCO	75.3%	--	24.7%
Vermont	FFS	--	--	100.0%
Virginia	MCO	98.0%	--	2.0%
Washington	MCO and PCCM	93.0%	1.0%	6.0%
West Virginia	MCO	77.0%	--	23.0%
Wisconsin	MCO	78.3%	--	21.7%
Wyoming	FFS	--	--	100.0%

NOTES: MCO refers to risk-based managed care; PCCM refers to Primary Care Case Management. FFS/Other refers to Medicaid beneficiaries who are not in MCOs or PCCM programs. *AR - Most expansion adults served by Qualified Health Plans through "Arkansas Works" premium assistance waiver. *CO - PCCM enrollees are part of the state's Accountable Care Collaboratives (ACCs). *CT - Terminated its MCO contracts in 2012 and now operates its program on a fee-for-service basis using three ASO entities. *ID - The Medicaid-Medicare Coordinated Plan (MMCP) has been recategorized by CMS as an MCO but is not counted here as such since it is secondary to Medicare. *OR - MCO enrollees include those enrolled in the state's Coordinated Care Organizations. *SC - Uses PCCM authority to provide care management services to medically complex children.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 3: ENROLLMENT OF SPECIAL POPULATIONS UNDER MEDICAID MANAGED CARE CONTRACTS FOR ACUTE CARE IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, AS OF JULY 1, 2019

States	Non-Dual, Non-LTSS Populations						
	Pregnant Women	Medically Fragile/Tech Dependent Children	Foster Children	Persons with SMI/SED	Persons with ID/DD	Persons with Physical Disabilities	Seniors
Alabama	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alaska	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Arizona	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Arkansas	Varies	Excluded	Varies	Mandatory	Mandatory	Excluded	Varies
California	Mandatory	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Colorado	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary
Connecticut	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Delaware	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
DC	Mandatory	Voluntary	Varies	Varies	Excluded	Varies	Excluded
Florida	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Voluntary	Mandatory	Mandatory
Georgia	Varies	Excluded	Mandatory	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded
Hawaii	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Idaho	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Illinois	Mandatory	Excluded	Excluded	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Indiana	Mandatory	Varies	Voluntary	Varies	Varies	Varies	Mandatory
Iowa	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Kansas	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Kentucky	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies
Louisiana	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies
Maine	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Maryland	Mandatory	Excluded	Mandatory	Varies	Varies	Varies	Excluded
Massachusetts	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary
Michigan	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory
Minnesota	Varies	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Varies
Mississippi	Mandatory	Voluntary	Voluntary	Varies	Excluded	Mandatory	Excluded
Missouri	Mandatory	Voluntary	Mandatory	Voluntary	Excluded	Voluntary	Excluded
Montana	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Nebraska	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Nevada	Mandatory	Voluntary	Voluntary	Voluntary	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded
New Hampshire	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
New Jersey*	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
New Mexico	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
New York	Mandatory	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Voluntary	Mandatory	Mandatory
North Carolina	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
North Dakota	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded	Excluded
Ohio	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Oklahoma	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Oregon	Mandatory	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Mandatory
Pennsylvania	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Rhode Island	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Excluded
South Carolina	Mandatory	Varies	Voluntary	Mandatory	Varies	Varies	Voluntary
South Dakota	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tennessee	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Texas	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Utah	Mandatory	Varies	Varies	Mandatory	Varies	Varies	Mandatory
Vermont	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Virginia	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Washington	Mandatory	Varies	Voluntary	Mandatory	Varies	Mandatory	Mandatory
West Virginia	Mandatory	Mandatory	Excluded	Mandatory	Excluded	Mandatory	Excluded
Wisconsin	Mandatory	Varies	Varies	Varies	Voluntary	Mandatory	Varies
Wyoming	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Mandatory	34	20	22	26	19	24	23
Voluntary	2	7	8	5	6	4	3
Varies	3	8	7	7	8	8	5
Excluded	1	5	3	2	7	4	9

NOTES: "--" indicates there were no MCOs operating in that state's Medicaid program as of July 1, 2019. /DD - intellectual and developmental disabilities, SMI - Serious Mental Illness, SED - Serious Emotional Disturbance. States were asked to indicate for each group if enrollment in MCOs is "Mandatory," "Voluntary," "Varies," or if the group is "Excluded" from MCOs as of July 1, 2019. *NJ: Nursing facility residents as of July 1, 2014 were grandfathered and remain excluded from MCO enrollment unless they experience a change in eligibility status or are discharged from the nursing facility.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 4: BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES COVERED UNDER ACUTE CARE MCO CONTRACTS IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, AS OF JULY 1, 2019

States	Specialty OP Mental Health	Inpatient Mental Health	Outpatient SUD	Inpatient SUD
Alabama	--	--	--	--
Alaska	--	--	--	--
Arizona*	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Arkansas	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
California	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out
Colorado	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out
Connecticut	--	--	--	--
Delaware	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies
DC	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-in
Florida	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Georgia	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Hawaii	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Idaho	--	--	--	--
Illinois	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Indiana	Varies	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Iowa	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Kansas	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Kentucky	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Louisiana	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Maine	--	--	--	--
Maryland	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out
Massachusetts	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Michigan	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out
Minnesota	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Mississippi	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Missouri	Always Carved-out	Varies	Varies	Varies
Montana	--	--	--	--
Nebraska	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Nevada	Always Carved-in	Varies	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
New Hampshire	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
New Jersey	Varies	Always Carved-in	Varies	Always Carved-in
New Mexico	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
New York	Varies	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
North Carolina	--	--	--	--
North Dakota	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Ohio	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Oklahoma	--	--	--	--
Oregon	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Pennsylvania	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out
Rhode Island	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
South Carolina	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
South Dakota	--	--	--	--
Tennessee	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Texas	Varies	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Utah	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out	Always Carved-out
Vermont	--	--	--	--
Virginia	Always Carved-out	Varies	Always Carved-in	Varies
Washington	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies
West Virginia	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Varies
Wisconsin	Varies	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in	Always Carved-in
Wyoming	--	--	--	--
Always Carved-in	23	28	29	29
Always Carved-out	10	7	7	6
Varies	7	5	4	5

NOTES: OP - Outpatient. SUD - Substance Use Disorder. "--" indicates there were no MCOs operating in that state's Medicaid program in July 2019. For beneficiaries enrolled in an MCO for acute care benefits, states were asked to indicate whether these benefits are always carved-in (meaning virtually all services are covered by the MCO), always carved-out (to PHP or FFS), or whether the carve-in varies (by geography or other factor). "Specialty outpatient mental health" refers to services utilized by adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) and/or youth with serious emotional disturbance (SED) commonly provided by specialty providers such as community mental health centers. *AZ: Foster care children have separate MCOs for Acute and Behavioral Health, all other populations are in an integrated MCO.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 5: SELECT MEDICAID MANAGED CARE QUALITY INITIATIVES IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, IN PLACE IN FY 2019 AND ACTIONS TAKEN IN FY 2020

States	Pay for Performance/ Performance Bonus		Capitation Withhold		Auto-Assignment Algorithm Includes Quality Performance Measures		Publicly Available Comparison Data About MCOs		Any Select Quality Initiatives	
	In Place FY 2019	New or Expanded FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New or Expanded FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New or Expanded FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New or Expanded FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New or Expanded FY 2020
Alabama	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Alaska	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Arizona	X		X		X	X	X		X	X
Arkansas							X		X	
California		X*	X	X	X		X		X	X
Colorado	X						X		X	
Connecticut	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Delaware	X	X					X	X	X	X
DC							X		X	
Florida	X	X	X				X		X	X
Georgia			X				X	X	X	X
Hawaii	X	X	X		X		X		X	X
Idaho	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Illinois		X*	X				X		X	X
Indiana	X		X	X			X	X	X	X
Iowa	X		X				X		X	
Kansas	X		X				X	X	X	X
Kentucky							X		X	
Louisiana			X		X		X	X	X	X
Maine	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Maryland	X				X		X		X	
Massachusetts	X		X				X		X	
Michigan	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Minnesota			X				X		X	
Mississippi				X*						X
Missouri	X		X	X			X		X	X
Montana	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Nebraska			X				X	X	X	X
Nevada	X		X				X		X	
New Hampshire		X*		X*		X*	X		X	X
New Jersey	X						X		X	
New Mexico	X		X		X**		X		X	
New York	X				X				X	
North Carolina	--		--		--		--		--	
North Dakota										
Ohio	X		X	X	X	X	X		X	X
Oklahoma	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Oregon	X		X				X	X	X	X
Pennsylvania	X	X					X	X	X	X
Rhode Island	X	X	X				X		X	X
South Carolina	X		X		X		X		X	
South Dakota	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tennessee	X								X	
Texas	X	X					X	X	X	X
Utah								X*		X
Vermont	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Virginia	X		X				X	X	X	X
Washington			X	X	X		X		X	X
West Virginia										
Wisconsin	X		X				X		X	
Wyoming	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Totals	25	10	24	7	11	4	34	12	36	23

NOTES: States with MCO contracts were asked to report if select quality initiatives were included in contracts in FY 2019, or are new or expanded in FY 2020. The table above does not reflect all quality initiatives states have included as part of MCO contracts. "*" indicates that a policy was newly adopted in FY 2020, meaning that the state did not have any policy in that category/column in place in FY 2019. "**" New Mexico reported eliminating the use of quality metrics in its auto-assignment algorithm at end of CY 2018.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 6: SELECT DELIVERY SYSTEM AND PAYMENT REFORM INITIATIVES IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, IN PLACE IN FY 2019 AND ACTIONS TAKEN IN FY 2020

States	Patient-Centered Medical Homes (PCMH)		ACA Health Homes		Accountable Care Organizations (ACO)		Episode of Care Payments		Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment Program (DSRIP)		Any Delivery System or Payment Reform Initiatives	
	In Place FY 2019	New/Expand FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New/Expand FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New/Expand FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New/Expand FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New/Expand FY 2020	In Place FY 2019	New/Expand FY 2020
Alabama	X		X								X	
Alaska	X					X*					X	X
Arizona									X		X	
Arkansas	X						X				X	
California			X	X					X		X	X
Colorado	X				X						X	
Connecticut	X	X	X		X	X					X	X
Delaware			X								X	
DC			X								X	
Florida	X										X	
Georgia	X										X	
Hawaii				X*								X
Idaho	X					X*					X	X
Illinois	X			X*							X	X
Indiana												
Iowa			X		X						X	
Kansas				X*					X		X	X
Kentucky												
Louisiana	X				X	X					X	X
Maine			X		X	X					X	X
Maryland			X								X	
Massachusetts					X				X		X	
Michigan	X		X								X	
Minnesota	X		X		X						X	
Mississippi												
Missouri	X		X		X						X	
Montana	X										X	
Nebraska	X				X						X	
Nevada												
New Hampshire									X		X	
New Jersey	X		X		X				X		X	
New Mexico	X		X				X		X		X	
New York	X		X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X
North Carolina	X										X	
North Dakota												
Ohio	X	X		X*			X	X			X	X
Oklahoma	X		X								X	
Oregon	X										X	
Pennsylvania	X	X			X	X		X*			X	X
Rhode Island	X		X		X						X	
South Carolina	X										X	
South Dakota			X								X	
Tennessee	X		X				X				X	
Texas	X								X		X	
Utah												
Vermont	X		X		X	X	X				X	X
Virginia	X										X	
Washington			X						X		X	
West Virginia			X								X	
Wisconsin			X								X	
Wyoming	X	X									X	X
Totals	30	4	22	6	14	8	6	2	10	0	44	14

NOTES: Expansions of existing initiatives include rollouts of existing initiatives to new areas or groups and significant increases in enrollment or providers. "*" indicates that a policy was newly adopted in FY 2020, meaning that the state did not have any policy in that category/column in place in FY 2019.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 7: BENEFIT CHANGES IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, FY 2019 AND FY 2020

States	FY 2019		FY 2020	
	Enhancements/ Additions	Restrictions/ Eliminations	Enhancements/ Additions	Restrictions/ Eliminations
Alabama			X	
Alaska			X	X
Arizona	X			
Arkansas				
California	X		X	
Colorado	X	X		
Connecticut				
Delaware	X		X	
DC	X		X	
Florida				
Georgia	X			
Hawaii			X	
Idaho				
Illinois	X		X	
Indiana	X		X	
Iowa		X		
Kansas				
Kentucky			X	X
Louisiana				
Maine			X	
Maryland	X		X	
Massachusetts	X			
Michigan			X	
Minnesota			X	
Mississippi	X		X	
Missouri	X		X	
Montana				
Nebraska			X	
Nevada		X	X	
New Hampshire	X		X	
New Jersey	X		X	
New Mexico	X			
New York	X		X	
North Carolina	X			
North Dakota				
Ohio			X	
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island	X		X	
South Carolina			X	
South Dakota	X			
Tennessee			X	
Texas	X			
Utah	X	X		
Vermont			X	
Virginia				
Washington	X			
West Virginia	X		X	
Wisconsin			X	
Wyoming			X	
Totals	23	4	28	2

NOTES: States were asked to report benefit restrictions, eliminations, enhancements, and additions in FY 2019 and FY 2020. Home and community-based services (HCBS) and pharmacy benefit changes are excluded from this table. Methadone benefit changes were also excluded from this table.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 8: MEDICAID FFS PHARMACY BENEFIT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR OPIOIDS IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, IN PLACE IN FY 2019 AND ACTIONS TAKEN IN FY 2020

States	Opioid Prescribing Guidelines		Prospective DUR		Prior Authorization and Step Therapy		Retrospective DUR		Required use of Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs		Prescription Drug Lock-In Programs		Any Opioid Management Strategies	
	In place FY 2019	New/Exp FY 2020	In place FY 2019	New/Exp FY 2020	In place FY 2019	New/Exp FY 2020	In place FY 2019	New/Exp FY 2020	In place FY 2019	New/Exp FY 2020	In place FY 2019	New/Exp FY 2020	In place FY 2019	New/Exp FY 2020
Alabama			X		X*		X				X		X	
Alaska	X		X	X	X*	X*	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Arizona	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
Arkansas	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
California	X				X		X		X				X	
Colorado	X	X	X		X*	X*	X	X			X		X	X
Connecticut	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X		X	X
Delaware	X	X	X	X	X*		X		X		X		X	X
DC	X		X	X							X		X	X
Florida	X		X	X	X*	X*	X		X	X			X	X
Georgia	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
Hawaii									X				X	
Idaho	X		X		X*		X			X*	X		X	X
Illinois	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Indiana	X		X	X	X*	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Iowa	X		X		X*	X	X	X	X				X	X
Kansas	X				X*						X		X	
Kentucky	X		X		X*		X		X				X	
Louisiana	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Maine	X	X	X		X*	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
Maryland			X		X		X		X		X		X	
Massachusetts	X	X	X	X	X*	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
Michigan	X	X	X		X*	X	X	X	X	X			X	X
Minnesota	X	X	X	X	X		X	X			X		X	X
Mississippi		X*	X	X	X*	X	X				X	X	X	X
Missouri	X	X	X		X*		X				X		X	X
Montana	X	X	X		X*		X	X			X		X	X
Nebraska	X				X*		X		X	X			X	X
Nevada	X		X	X	X		X		X		X		X	X
New Hampshire	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
New Jersey	X		X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X
New Mexico	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
New York	X	X	X	X	X*	X*	X	X	X		X		X	X
North Carolina	X		X	X	X*	X*	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
North Dakota			X		X*		X		X		X		X	
Ohio	X		X		X*		X	X	X		X		X	X
Oklahoma	X		X		X*	X	X		X	X	X		X	X
Oregon		X*	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X
Pennsylvania	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Rhode Island	X	X			X*		X		X	X	X		X	X
South Carolina	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
South Dakota	X		X	X	X*		X						X	X
Tennessee	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
Texas	X	X	X		X		X			X*			X	X
Utah	X		X	X	X*	*	X	X	X		X		X	X
Vermont	X	X	X		X*		X	X	X		X		X	X
Virginia	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
Washington	X	X	X		X	X	X			X*	X		X	X
West Virginia	X		X		X*		X		X		X		X	
Wisconsin			X	X	X*		X		X		X		X	X
Wyoming			X		X*		X			X*	X		X	X
Totals	43	16	46	17	49	17	48	15	37	10	44	6	51	32

NOTES: States were asked to report whether they had select pharmacy benefit management strategies in place in their FFS programs in FY 2019, and/or had plans to adopt or expand these strategies in FY 2020. Prospective drug utilization review activities includes screening prescription drug claims, while retrospective drug utilization review examines already-paid prescription drug claims. "*" indicates that a policy was newly adopted in FY 2020, meaning that the state did not have any policy in that category/column in place in FY 2019. "**" indicates step therapy policies. Utah responded that it plans to expand step therapy in FY 2020, but not prior authorization.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 9: NEW IMD SERVICE AUTHORITIES AND ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED BY STATES

States	States using the Medicaid managed care "in lieu of" authority for enrollees (ages 21-64) receiving inpatient treatment in an IMD*				States pursuing a Section 1115 IMD waiver for services for individuals with SMI or SED*				States planning to adopt the SUPPORT Act State Plan option#	
	Yes - in FY 2019^	Yes - in FY 2020	Yes - in both FY 2019 and FY 2020	Undetermined	Submitted in FY 2019	Plan to submit in FY 2020	Plan to Submit after FY 2020	Undetermined	Plan to Adopt in 2020	Undetermined
Alabama	--	--	--	--				X		X
Alaska	--	--	--	--			X			X
Arizona			X							X
Arkansas			X					X		X
California								X		
Colorado			X							
Connecticut	--	--	--	--			X			
Delaware			X					X		
DC			X		X					
Florida			X					X		X
Georgia				X				X		X
Hawaii			X					X		X
Idaho	--	--	--	--		X			X	
Illinois			X					X		
Indiana			X			X			X	
Iowa			X							
Kansas				X				X		X
Kentucky			X					X		X
Louisiana			X							
Maine	--	--	--	--				X		
Maryland					NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Massachusetts			X			X				X
Michigan			X					X		
Minnesota			X					X		X
Mississippi		X								
Missouri			X					X		
Montana	--	--	--	--				X		X
Nebraska			X					X		
Nevada			X					X		X
New Hampshire			X			X			X	
New Jersey			X			X				
New Mexico	X							X		X
New York			X					X		X
North Carolina	--	X				X				
North Dakota										
Ohio			X					X		
Oklahoma	--	--	--	--				X		X
Oregon			X							
Pennsylvania										
Rhode Island			X			X				
South Carolina			X					X		
South Dakota	--	--	--	--					X	
Tennessee			X					X	X	
Texas			X					X		
Utah			X					X		
Vermont	--	--	--	--		X				X
Virginia			X				X			X
Washington			X				X			
West Virginia	X							X		X
Wisconsin			X					X		X
Wyoming	--	--	--	--				X		X
Totals	2	2	31	2	1	8	4	28	5	21

NOTES: NR - not reported. "--" indicates state without MCOs. States without an "x" on a given row under each column, indicated "no plans to adopt/submit." *The 2016 Medicaid Managed Care Final Rule allows states, under the authority for health plans to cover services "in lieu of" those available under the Medicaid state plan, to receive federal matching funds for capitation payments on behalf of adults who receive inpatient psychiatric or SUD treatment or crisis residential services in an IMD for no more than 15 days during a given month. †In November 2018, CMS issued new guidance inviting states to apply for Section 1115 waivers of the federal IMD payment exclusion for services for adults with serious mental illness (SMI) or children with serious emotional disturbance (SED). ‡The SUPPORT Act legislation created a new state plan option, from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2023, to cover IMD services for up to 30 days in a year for individuals with an SUD. Re: adoption of SUPPORT Act SPA option, states were also given the response option, "plan to submit after FY 2020." No states selected this option. ^These states (NM, WV) may have discontinued use of Medicaid managed care "in lieu of" authority in FY 2020 due to approval/implementation of Section 1115 IMD SUD waivers.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 10: LONG-TERM CARE ACTIONS TO SERVE MORE INDIVIDUALS IN COMMUNITY SETTINGS IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, FY 2019 AND FY 2020

States	Sec. 1915 (c) or Section 1115 HCBS Waiver		Sec. 1915(i) HCBS State Plan Option		Sec. 1915(k) "Community First Choice" Option		Building Rebalancing Incentives into MLTSS		PACE (* indicates new sites)		Close/ Downsize Institution		Total States with HCBS Expansions	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019 [^]	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
Alabama		X					X	X					X	X
Alaska	X	X			X	X							X	X
Arizona							X	X					X	X
Arkansas			X	X					X*				X	X
California	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*	X	X	X	X
Colorado	X	X							X*	X*			X	X
Connecticut	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	X	X	X
Delaware	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X*			X	X
DC										X*				X
Florida	X	X					X	X	X*	X*			X	X
Georgia	X	X									X	X	X	X
Hawaii							X	X					X	X
Idaho	X	X	X	X			X	X					X	X
Illinois		X					X	X					X	X
Indiana	X	X	X						X	X*			X	X
Iowa		X	X	X			X	X	X	X			X	X
Kansas	X	X					X	X					X	X
Kentucky														
Louisiana	X												X	
Maine	X	X											X	X
Maryland	X	X	X		X	X			X				X	X
Massachusetts	X	X					X	X	X*				X	X
Michigan	X	X	X	X			X	X	X*	X*			X	X
Minnesota	X	X		X							X	X	X	X
Mississippi	X	X	X										X	X
Missouri	X	X								X*			X	X
Montana	X	X			X	X					X		X	X
Nebraska	X	X							X	X			X	X
Nevada	X	X	X	X	X	X							X	X
New Hampshire														
New Jersey							X	X		X*			X	X
New Mexico	X	X					X	X		X			X	X
New York	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X*	X*	X		X	X
North Carolina				X		X			X	X		X	X	X
North Dakota	X	X							X*	X*	X	X	X	X
Ohio	X	X	X	X			X	X			X	X	X	X
Oklahoma	X	X								X*			X	X
Oregon	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X*			X	X
Pennsylvania	X	X					X	X	X*	X			X	X
Rhode Island							X	X	X				X	X
South Carolina	X	X					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
South Dakota	X												X	
Tennessee	X	X					X	X					X	X
Texas	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	X
Utah	X	X											X	X
Vermont	X	X											X	X
Virginia	X						X	X					X	X
Washington	X	X			X	X			X	X*	X	X	X	X
West Virginia	X	X											X	X
Wisconsin	X	X					X	X					X	X
Wyoming	X	X							X	X			X	X
Totals	39	39	15	13	10	11	23	23	20	21	10	9	48	47

NOTES: 1915(c) or Sec. 1115 waiver actions include: adopting new waivers; adding and filling more waiver slots; or filling more waiver slots. Actions under 1915(i) and 1915(k) options include adding new 1915(i) or 1915(k) SPAs or serving more individuals through existing 1915(i) or 1915(k) SPAs. Actions under PACE include more individuals served in existing and/or new PACE sites, with "*" indicating which states expect new sites in FY 2019 or FY 2020. [^]PA and ND reported adding a PACE site in FY 2019 but did not anticipate this would result in increased enrollment until FY2020.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 11: CAPITATED MLTSS MODELS IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, AS OF JULY 1, 2019

States	Medicaid MCO	PHP	Financial Alignment Demonstration (FAD) for Duals	Any MLTSS	Statewide
Alabama					
Alaska					
Arizona	X			X	X
Arkansas	X			X	X
California	X		X	X	
Colorado					
Connecticut					
Delaware	X			X	X
DC					
Florida	X			X	X
Georgia					
Hawaii	X			X	X
Idaho		X		X	
Illinois	X		X	X	X
Indiana					
Iowa	X			X	X
Kansas	X			X	X
Kentucky					
Louisiana					
Maine					
Maryland					
Massachusetts	X		X	X	
Michigan		X	X	X	
Minnesota	X			X	X
Mississippi					
Missouri					
Montana					
Nebraska					
Nevada					
New Hampshire					
New Jersey	X			X	X
New Mexico	X			X	X
New York	X	X	X	X	X
North Carolina		X		X	X
North Dakota					
Ohio	X		X	X	
Oklahoma					
Oregon					
Pennsylvania	X			X	
Rhode Island	X		X	X	X
South Carolina			X	X	
South Dakota					
Tennessee	X			X	X
Texas	X		X	X	X
Utah					
Vermont					
Virginia	X			X	X
Washington					
West Virginia					
Wisconsin	X	X		X	X
Wyoming					
Totals	21	5	9	25	18

NOTES: States were asked whether they cover long-term services and supports through any of the following managed care (capitated) arrangements as of July 1, 2019: Medicaid MCO (MCO covers Medicaid acute + Medicaid LTSS); PHP (covers only Medicaid LTSS); MCO arrangement for dual eligibles under the Financial Alignment Demonstration (Medicaid MCO covers Medicaid and Medicare acute + Medicaid LTSS). In addition to these capitated models, two states (Alabama and Washington) report managed fee-for-service (FFS) LTSS models. Under these arrangements, states make payments to care coordination entities responsible for managing the care of individuals, while continuing to reimburse providers on a FFS basis for LTSS and other Medicaid services.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 12: PROVIDER RATE CHANGES IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, FY 2019

States	Inpatient Hospital		Outpatient Hospital		Primary Care Physicians		Specialists		Dentists		MCOs		Nursing Facilities		HCBS		Any Provider	
	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
Alabama		X									--	--	X		X		X	X
Alaska	X		X		X		X				--	--	X				X	
Arizona		X									X		X				X	X
Arkansas		X											X		X		X	X
California	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Colorado	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Connecticut	X		X						X		--	--	X		X		X	X
Delaware		X	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	X
DC	X		X								X		X		X		X	
Florida		X		X							X		X		X		X	X
Georgia	X		X						X		X		X		X		X	
Hawaii	X		X						X		X		X		X		X	
Idaho	X		X		X		X				--	--	X		X		X	
Illinois	X		X								X		X		X		X	
Indiana		X			X		X						X				X	X
Iowa		X									X		X		X		X	X
Kansas	X		X								X		X		X		X	
Kentucky	X		X								X		X		X		X	
Louisiana	X		X								X		X		X		X	
Maine	X		X								--	--	X		X		X	
Maryland	X		X		X		X				NR		X		X		X	
Massachusetts		X		X							X		X		X		X	X
Michigan		X									X		X		X		X	X
Minnesota	X		X						X		X		X		X		X	
Mississippi		X	X		X						X		X		X		X	X
Missouri	X			X	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	X
Montana	X		X		X		X		X		--	--	X		X		X	
Nebraska	X										X		X				X	
Nevada		X							X		X		X		X		X	X
New Hampshire		X									X		X				X	X
New Jersey	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
New Mexico		X			X						X		X		X		X	X
New York	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
North Carolina		X			X				X		--	--	X		X		X	X
North Dakota		X									X		X				X	X
Ohio		X					X				X		X				X	X
Oklahoma	X		X		X		X		X		--	--	X		X		X	
Oregon		X									X		X				X	X
Pennsylvania		X									X		X		X		X	X
Rhode Island	X		X		X						X		X		X		X	
South Carolina		X									X		X		X		X	X
South Dakota	X		X		X		X		X		--	--	X		X		X	
Tennessee		X											X					X
Texas		X									X		X				X	X
Utah		X	X								X		X				X	X
Vermont	X		X		X						--	--	X		X		X	
Virginia	X		X								X		X				X	
Washington		X			X						X		X		X		X	X
West Virginia	X		X		X		X				X		X		X		X	
Wisconsin	X		X						X		X		X		X		X	
Wyoming		X									--	--	X		X		X	X
Totals	27	24	28	3	20	0	14	1	14	2	36	0	41	10	39	0	50	26

NOTES: "+" refers to provider rate increases and "-" refers to provider rate restrictions. MCOs: Managed care organizations. HCBS: Home and community-based services. For the purposes of this report, provider rate restrictions include cuts to rates for physicians, dentists, outpatient hospitals, managed care organizations, HCBS, and pharmacy dispensing fees as well as both cuts or freezes in rates for inpatient hospitals and nursing facilities. There are 11 states that did not have Medicaid MCOs in operation in FY 2019; they are denoted as "--" in the MCO column. NR: State did not report.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 13: PROVIDER RATE CHANGES IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, FY 2020

States	Inpatient Hospital		Outpatient Hospital		Primary Care Physicians		Specialists		Dentists		MCOs		Nursing Facilities		HCBS		Any Provider	
	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
Alabama		X									--	--	X		X		X	X
Alaska		X		X		X		X		X		--	--		X		X	X
Arizona	X										X		X		X		X	
Arkansas		X									X		X				X	X
California	X		X								X		X				X	
Colorado	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Connecticut	X		X								--	--	X		X		X	
Delaware		X	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	X
DC	X		X								X		X		X		X	
Florida		X									X			X		X	X	X
Georgia	X								X		X		X				X	
Hawaii	X		X						X		X		X		X		X	
Idaho	X		X		X		X		X		--	--	X		X		X	
Illinois	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Indiana		X									NR		NR		NR			X
Iowa	X		X								X		X		x		X	
Kansas		X							X		X		X		X		X	X
Kentucky	X		X								X		X		x		X	
Louisiana	X		X		X		X		X		X		X				X	
Maine	X		X								--	--	X		X		X	
Maryland	X		X		NR		NR		NR		NR		X		X		X	
Massachusetts	X		X						X		X		X		X		X	
Michigan		X									X		X			X	X	X
Minnesota	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Mississippi		X		X							X		X		X		X	X
Missouri	X			X	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	X
Montana		X			X		X		X		--	--	X		X		X	X
Nebraska	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Nevada	X								X		X			X			X	X
New Hampshire		TBD		TBD		TBD		TBD		TBD		TBD		TBD		TBD		
New Jersey	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
New Mexico	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
New York	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
North Carolina		X					X				X		X				X	X
North Dakota	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Ohio	X		X								X		X		X		X	
Oklahoma	X		X		X		X		X		--	--	X		X		X	
Oregon		X												X				X
Pennsylvania		X									X			X		X	X	X
Rhode Island	X		X		X						X		X				X	
South Carolina	X				X		X				X		X				X	
South Dakota	X		X		X		X		X		--	--	X		X		X	
Tennessee		X												X				X
Texas	X										X			X			X	X
Utah		X							X		X		X				X	X
Vermont		X	X		X		X				--	--	X		X		X	X
Virginia	X		X		X		X				X		X		X		X	
Washington		X			X		X				X		X		X		X	X
West Virginia	X		X		X		X				X		X		X		X	
Wisconsin	X						X		X		X		X		X		X	
Wyoming		X									--	--		X				X
Totals	32	18	28	3	21	1	22	1	21	1	36	0	41	8	34	2	45	21

NOTES: "+" refers to provider rate increases and "-" refers to provider rate restrictions. MCOs: Managed care organizations. HCBS: Home and community-based services. For the purposes of this report, provider rate restrictions include cuts to rates for physicians, dentists, outpatient hospitals, managed care organizations, HCBS, and pharmacy dispensing fees as well as both cuts or freezes in rates for inpatient hospitals and nursing facilities. There are 10 states that did not have Medicaid MCOs in operation in FY 2020; they are denoted as "--" in the MCO column. NR: State did not report.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.

TABLE 14: PROVIDER TAXES IN PLACE IN ALL 50 STATES AND DC, FY 2019 AND FY 2020

States	Hospitals		Intermediate Care Facilities		Nursing Facilities		Other	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
Alabama	X	X			X	X	X	X
Alaska								
Arizona	X	X			X	X		
Arkansas	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
California	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*
Colorado	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Connecticut	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Delaware					X	X		
DC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Florida	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Georgia	X	X			X	X		
Hawaii	X	X			X	X		
Idaho	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Illinois	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
Indiana	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Iowa	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Kansas	X	X			X	X		
Kentucky	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Louisiana	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Maine	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Maryland	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Massachusetts	X	X			X	X		
Michigan	X	X			X	X	X*	X*
Minnesota	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Mississippi	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Missouri	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Montana	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Nebraska			X	X	X	X		
Nevada					X	X		
New Hampshire	X	X			X	X		
New Jersey	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
New Mexico						X	X	X
New York	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
North Carolina	X	X	X	X	X	X		
North Dakota			X	X				
Ohio	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Oklahoma	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Oregon	X	X			X	X	X	X
Pennsylvania	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Rhode Island	X	X			X	X	X	X
South Carolina	X	X	X	X				
South Dakota			X	X				
Tennessee	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Texas		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Utah	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Vermont	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Virginia	X	X	X	X				
Washington	X	X			X	X		
West Virginia	X	X	X	X	X	X	X*	X*
Wisconsin	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Wyoming	X	X			X	X		X
Totals	43	44	35	35	45	46	24	27

NOTES: This table includes Medicaid provider taxes as reported by states. Some states also have premium or claims taxes that apply to managed care organizations and other insurers. Since this type of tax is not considered a provider tax by CMS, these taxes are not counted as provider taxes in this report. "*" has been used to denote states with multiple "other" provider taxes.

SOURCE: Kaiser Family Foundation Survey of Medicaid Officials in 50 states and DC conducted by Health Management Associates, October 2019.