Data Note: Impact of New Title X Regulations on Network Participation

Brittni Frederiksen, Alina Salganicoff, Ivette Gomez, Laurie Sobel

Since 1970, the federal government has been funding Title X, a national grant program to support the delivery of family planning services to low-income individuals. On <u>March 4, 2019</u>, the Trump Administration finalized new regulations that made major changes to the program, which recently granted \$255 million to fund approximately 4,000 clinics and service sites across the country. These new regulations prohibit any sites that receive Title X from providing abortion referrals, mandate referrals to prenatal services for all pregnant patients, and require complete financial and physical separation from abortion services. (Title X funds have never been permitted to be used to pay for abortions.) These regulations are a significant departure from the regulations that were in place, but mirror Reagan-era regulations that were upheld by the Supreme Court in <u>Rust v Sullivan</u> in 1991 but never fully implemented. Nonetheless, these new regulations are being legally challenged through <u>8 lawsuits</u> representing 23 States and provider organizations (including the American Medical Association and Planned Parenthood). While several of the Federal District Courts hearing the cases initially issued preliminary injunctions blocking the implemented as the cases make their way through the courts.

Effective July 15, 2019, Title X projects can no longer provide abortion referrals and must refer all pregnant clients to prenatal care services regardless of their wishes. OPA required all grantees to submit a <u>compliance plan</u> by August 19, 2019, documenting the steps they will take to change their programs to comply with the final rule and have stated they will respond with questions two weeks after that date. While financial separation of all abortion services from other family planning services has been required in the past, effective March 4, 2020, all clinics must have full physical separation from abortion services and other grantees and providers may opt to leave after that date. This data note provides estimates of the status of the Title X network as of September 20, 2019. Grantees and sub-recipients are continuing to make decisions about their participation in the Title X program. The most up-to-date information on the status of the Title X network can be found on this <u>interactive map</u>.

Over one in five Title X sites will no longer be using program funding

The HHS Office of Population Affairs operates the Title X program and funds "grantees" (health care organizations, state health departments, or non-profits) that apply to oversee the distribution of federal dollars to safety-net clinics (sub-recipients) and other sites to provide family planning services to low-income, uninsured, and underserved clients. In 2019, OPA funded 90 grantees that supported approximately 4,000 clinics nationwide, including specialized family planning clinics such as Planned

Headquarters / 185 Berry Street Suite 2000 San Francisco CA 94107 / 650 854 9400 Washington Offices and Conference Center / 1330 G Street NW Washington DC 20005 / 202 347 5270 kff.org / Email Alerts: kff.org/email / facebook.com/KaiserFamilyFoundation / twitter.com/KaiserFamFound Filling the need for trusted information on national health issues, the Kaiser Family Foundation is a nonprofit organization based in San Francisco, California.



Parenthood centers, primary care providers such as federally qualified health centers (FQHCs), and health departments, school-based, faith-based, and other private nonprofits.

On August 19, 2019, Planned Parenthood <u>informed</u> OPA that all Planned Parenthood direct grantees and Planned Parenthood sub-grantees will withdraw from the Title X program. All eight direct <u>Planned</u> <u>Parenthood grantees</u> in the Title X program and the majority of sub-recipients have exited the program, while some sub-recipients are still in the process of withdrawing (**Figure 1**). The Planned Parenthood grantees that have withdrawn include: Planned Parenthood of Great Northwest and the Hawaiian Islands (Alaska), Planned Parenthood of Southern New England, Inc. (Connecticut), Planned Parenthood of Great Northwest and the Hawaiian Islands (Idaho), Planned Parenthood of Illinois (Illinois), Planned Parenthood of Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota (Minnesota), Planned Parenthood of Northern New England (New Hampshire), Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio (Ohio), and Planned Parenthood of Utah (Utah).



In Utah, Planned Parenthood is the only Title X grantee in the state and in Alaska, Connecticut, and Minnesota, Planned Parenthood is the largest Title X grantee.

In addition to Planned Parenthood, numerous grantees and sub-recipient clinics and networks have announced they will no longer use Title X funds (**Table 1**). These grantees have said that the new rules would require them to deny individuals needed care and accurate information to make informed medical decisions. In total, 23% of sites that were receiving Title X funds will no longer use Title X funds.

State	no longer use Title X funds Grantee or Sub-recipient Site	Number of Clinics
	•	
Alaska	Planned Parenthood of Great Northwest and the Hawaiian Islands (grantee)	4
Arizona	Planned Parenthood Service Sites San Francisco Department of Public Health (sub-recipient of Essential Access	4
<u>California</u>	Health)	11
	Los Angeles County, Department of Health Services (sub-recipient of Essential Access Health)	17
	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	98
<u>Colorado</u>	Boulder Valley Women's Health Center (sub-recipient of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment)	2
Connecticut	Planned Parenthood Southern New England, Inc. (grantee)	17
Delaware	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	3
C	Planned Parenthood Service Site	1
Florida	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	3
lonua	Tampa Family Health Center (service sites of Florida Department of Health)	6
<u>Hawaii*</u>	State of Hawaii Department of Health (only grantee in state)	32
daho	Planned Parenthood of Great Northwest and the Hawaiian Islands (grantee)	1
<u>llinois</u>	Illinois Department of Public Health, Planned Parenthood of Illinois (2 of 3	95
ndiana	grantees) Planned Parenthood Service Sites	7
ndiana owa	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	7
owa Kansas	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	1**
Vansas Maine	Maine Family Planning (only grantee in state)	52
Maryland		73
viaryianu	Maryland Department of Health (grantee) Massachusetts Department of Public Health (grantee)	31
Massachusetts		18
lichigon	Health Imperatives, Inc (grantee) Planned Parenthood Service Sites	10
Vichigan Vinnesota		33
Missouri	Planned Parenthood of Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota (grantee) Planned Parenthood Service Sites	5
	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	5
Montana <u>New</u> <u>Hampshire</u>	Manchester Community Health Center (service site of NH Dept. of Health and	C
	Human Services)	1
	Lamprey Healthcare in Nashua (service site of NH Dept. of Health and Human Services)	1
	Coos County Family Health Services in Berlin (sub-recipient of NH Dept. of Health and Human Services)	2
	The Joan G. Lovering Health Center in Greenland (sub-recipient of NH Dept. of Health and Human services)	1
	Equality Health Center in Concord (sub-recipient of NH Dept. of Health and Human services)	1
	Planned Parenthood of Northern New England (grantee)	5
lew Jersey	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	23
<u>New York</u>	Public Health Solutions (grantee)	7
	Community Healthcare Network (sub-recipient of Public Health Solutions and New York State Department of Health)	13
	Planned Parenthood Service Sites	59
Dhio	Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio (grantee)	17
Dregon	Oregon Health Authority (only grantee in state)	95
Pennsylvania	Planned Parenthood Sites	24
Rhode Island	Planned Parenthood (sub-recipient)	1
Jtah	Planned Parenthood of Utah (only grantee in state)	8
/ermont	Vermont Agency of Human Services (only grantee in state)	11
Washington	Washington State Department of Health (only grantee in state)	93
	Planned Parenthood Site	1
West Virginia	Women's Health Center of WV Inc. (service site of West Virginia Department of	4
	Health and Human Services)	1
	Teton County Family Planning Clinic (service site of Wyoming Health Council)	1

SOURCE: This analysis is based on KFF analysis of the June 2019 listing of Title X sites available from the HHS Office of Population Affairs and public statements and news coverage regarding clinic and grantee participation in Title X.

The loss of Title X funding will likely create access barriers to quality family planning services across the country and few new grantees have joined the program to take their place

One of the Trump Administration's aims with the new Title X regulations is to encourage participation by "non-traditional" organizations and broaden the network, but there have been very few additions to the Title X network of sites and grantees (**Figure 2**). Only three new grantees were added to the program as of April 1, 2019. One of the new grantees is the <u>Obria Group</u>, a Christian organization based in Southern California that does not provide contraceptive services based on religious objections to hormonal contraception, which is a key service that has been provided by Title X clinics, and is still required by the new regulations. These new grantees have been awarded a very small portion of the total Title X funds awarded in the states where they are located.



Grantees and sites that are leaving the program will try to rely on state funds, reserves, or additional external funding to maintain their ability to serve the same number of individuals, but these funds may not be a replacement for the loss of federal dollars. As of August 30, 2019, <u>Hawaii</u>, <u>Illinois</u>, <u>Maryland</u>, <u>Vermont</u>, <u>Washington</u>, <u>Massachusetts</u>, <u>Oregon</u>, <u>California</u> and <u>New York City</u> have made some state or local funding available to replace some of the federal funds, but this may only be a short term solution and it is unclear whether these revenues will be available to compensate providers beyond this year.

Currently, 18 of 90 Title X grantees have said they will no longer use Title X funds and more grantees may leave in March 2020 when the requirement for complete physical separation from abortion takes effect.

Family planning providers that no longer have Title X support will likely face challenges in meeting the family planning needs of low-income residents in their communities without the federal program funds. This means that clinics may be forced to reduce hours, scale back or cut programs, reduce their stock of costly contraceptives like IUDs and implants, or decrease outreach and education efforts that have been supported by Title X. Many clinics may not be able to sustain their current staff without Title X funds, and could be forced to lay off medical staff, trainers, and educators. In addition, some of the sites may lose their eligibility for discount contraceptives under the <u>340B Drug Pricing Program</u>. Historically, Title X funded sites are qualified to participate in this program, which allows clinics to obtain contraceptives and other drugs at considerably lower costs.

Certain parts of the country are more adversely impacted than others

Title X has been a long-standing program that has offered federal family planning funding to all 50 U.S. states, D.C., and territories. There are entire states that are Title X grantees through their health departments or who have a single state-wide grantee that either have formally withdrawn or are in the process of, withdrawing from the Title X program. As of September 20, 2019, Hawaii, Maine, Oregon, Utah, Vermont, and Washington will no longer have Title X federally funded family planning clinics (**Figure 3**), even though some of these states have said they will use state dollars to offset some of the losses of federal funds to the clinics. Planned Parenthood clinics comprise a sizable share of the Title X network in Connecticut, Minnesota, California, and the Northeast that will no longer be participating in the Title X program.



Given the small numbers of new grantees that have recently joined the Title X program and the considerable reduction in the share of family planning providers that are participating in Title X, the result of the implementation of these regulations could be a significant reduction in the availability of free or low-cost family planning services to low-income women and men. It remains to be seen whether other organizations in these states will be able to absorb new clients and whether the existing sites and grantees will be able to serve the same number of clients with the level of comprehensive quality family planning services that the Title X program has facilitated since its inception in 1970.